GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

PREVENTIVE DETENTION - The Andhra Pradesh Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Dacoits, Drug Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders and Land Grabbers Act, 1986 (Act No: 1 of 1986) – Order of Detention made by the Collector and District Magistrate, Prakasam District, againt Sri Medam Srinu @ Mundlapati Srinu, S/o Venkataiah, aged 49 years, R/o Diguvametta Village, Giddalur Mandal, Prakasam District, Andhra Pradesh State - Representation of Smt. Medam Ravanamma, W/o Medam Srinu – Examined –Orders – Issued.

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION (SC.I) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No.36 **Dated:05.01.2023**
Read the following:-

1. From the Collector and District Magistrate, Prakasam District, Proceedings No. No. MAGL-3/C-334714/2022, Dt:18.10.2022.
2. G.O.Rt.No.2273, G.A.(SC.I) Dept., dated 28.10.2022.
3. G.O.Rt.No2674, GA(SC.I) Dept., Dated: 13.12.2023.
4. Representation of Smt. Medam Ravanamma, W/o Medam Srinu, Dt:07.11.2022.

& & &

O R D E R:

In the reference first read above, the Collector and District Magistrate, Prakasam District, has passed detention order against Sri Medam Srinu @ Mundlapati Srinu, S/o Venkataiah, aged 49 years, R/o Diguvametta Village, Giddalur Mandal, Prakasam District, Andhra Pradesh State, under section 3 (1) & (2) read with Section 2(b) of the Andhra Pradesh Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Dacoits, Drug Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders and Land Grabbers Act, 1986 (Act No.1 of 1986). After following due procedure, Government had approved and confirmed the detention order in the reference 2nd and 3rd read above.

2. In the reference 3rd read above, Smt. Medam Ravanamma, W/o Medam Srinu had made a representation to the Government and requested to issue orders for release of her husband duly cancelling the order of detention of the Collector.

3. Government have examined the representation of Smt. Medam Ravanamma, W/o Medam Srinu and the material available in the record and under the provisions of the APPD Act, 1986. It is observed that five cases have been registered against him as the detenue has indulged in bootlegging activities such as manufacturing, possession, transportation and sale of Illicitly Distilled Liquor (I.D.Liquor) which is Prohibited in the state of A.P. u/s 7-A in contravention of A.P. Prohibition Act, 1995 and AP Excise Act, 1968. He has been doing this illegal bootlegging business in a clandestine manner violating the Acts and Rules made under A.P. Excise Laws for monetary gain for the last (3) years. The bootlegging activities of said person are causing damage to the Public Health, peace and tranquility and also causing danger to public life and feeling of insecurity among general public. His activities are habitual in nature and prejudicial to the maintenance of public order, which are registered under various provisions U/s 34(e) of A.P. Excise Act, 1968 and U/s 7(A) r/w 8(e) of A.P. Prohibition Act, 1995. The details shows that he is a habitual offender. He is a criminal indulging in violent and unlawful activities, having no respect towards Law and Justice and continued his criminal activities and there will be no change in his attitude even though number of cases registered against him. The said offences are punishable under Chapter XVI Chapter XVII or Chapter XXII of IPC. As such the activities of the individual falls under and within the meaning of “boot-legger” as defined under section 2(b) of Act 1 of 1986.

(P.T.O)

4. The Act 1/1986 provides power to preventive detention of an individual to contain his activities which are prejudicial to the maintenance of public order under the following circumstances: (a) "acting in any manner prejudicial to the maintenance of public order" means when a boot-legger, a dacoit, a drug offender, a goonda, an immoral traffic offender, or a land-grabber is engaged or is making preparations for engaging in any of his activities as such, which affect adversely or are likely to affect adversely, the maintenance of public order. For the purpose of this clause public order shall be deemed to have been affected adversely, or shall be deemed likely to be affected adversely inter alia, if any of the activities of any of the persons referred to in this clause directly, or indirectly, is causing or calculated to cause any harm, danger or alarm or a feeling of insecurity among the general public or any section thereof or a grave or widespread danger to life or public health. Further, the Applicant has an opportunity to represent before the Advisory Board on Preventive Detentions to put her argument/case before the Board.

5. Government therefore, and after careful examination of the matter hereby dispose the representation of the petitioner, Smt. Medam Ravanamma, W/o Medam Srinu as rejected.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

DR. K.S. JAWAHAR REDDY
CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To
Smt. Medam Ravanamma, W/o Medam Srinu @ Mundlapati Srinu, R/o Diguvametta Village, Giddalur Mandal, Prakasam District.
The Collector and District Magistrate, Prakasam District..
Copy to:
The Superintendent of Police, Prakasam District.
The Spl. Govt. Pleader, attached to Learned Advocate General, A.P High court, High Court buildings, Nelapadu, Guntur Dist, A.P.
SF/SC.

//FORWARDED::BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER (SC)